



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

RHNA RESOLUTION – January 20, 2020

Whereas the undersigned residents of Newport Beach object to the Southern California Association of Governments' (SCAG) 6th Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) revised allocation (4,832) methodology approved by SCAG on November 7, 2019 because of:

- a. Insufficient opportunity for public review and comment, and;
- b. Rejection of earlier allotment numbers generated and vetted over a long period of time; and;
- c. Short time period for RHNA implementation, and;

Whereas the Chapman University forecast projects statewide permitting at 115,000 units in 2020, nowhere near the statewide need of some 500,000 new units per year suggested by Governor Gavin Newsom, concluding that the California Department of Housing and Community Development's (HCD) 1.3 million regional allocation "is illogical and would be impossible to pull off, even if it was plausible" due to a weak real estate outlook tied to limited population growth, slower hiring pace, and aging owners, and;

Whereas Newport Beach should be considered unique in many ways including:

- a. Newport Beach has an overall density of 3,600 persons per square mile with especially high densities of dwelling units per acre in the beach communities, while still allowing access for thousands of beachgoers and other visitors to the coastal amenities we provide,
- b. Newport Beach residents have worked over the years to protect the unique coastal resources which are enjoyed by and benefit statewide visitors which include wetlands, coastal bluffs, parks, and important ecosystems such as the Upper Newport Bay, the Newport Coast, Crystal Cove, the Banning Ranch, and;
- c. Newport Beach sends funds for statewide purposes from income, sales and property taxes without receiving enough back to clean and police the bay, beaches and parks used by statewide visitors, and;



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

- d. Newport Beach residents had the foresight to initiate a Parks Dedication Ordinance calling for five acres per thousand new residents and this part of our infrastructure needs to be considered in approving new housing, and;
- e. Newport Beach residents had the foresight to initiate a Traffic Phasing Ordinance which calls for development of our circulation infrastructure to accommodate new traffic and this part of our infrastructure needs to be considered in approving new housing, and;
- f. Newport Beach has essentially kept up (all but 1 very low cost unit) with its RHNA 5th cycle mandates called for from 2013 - 2020. Having fulfilled those goals, the City has also allowed 1,200 apartment units at Uptown Newport and recently entitled 270 more apartment units, including affordable units, at Newport Crossings. Although these projects were entitled before the end of the 5th RHNA cycle, they nevertheless showed Newport's efforts to provide housing for moderate to low income families. Any of these units that were not required to fulfill the 5th cycle requirements should be counted toward the upcoming 6th cycle.

Whereas, Newport Beach has taken steps already to provide for various types of needed housing, such as:

- a. Newport Beach has already adopted zoning allowances for more accessory dwelling units on 5,000 properties, at least 25% of which should be counted toward new RHNA allocations, and;
- b. Newport Beach has recently approved two new assisted living facilities accommodating over 200 of our elderly population and many six-unit assisted living homes, spread throughout our neighborhoods and serving as a primary residence for many elderly people, which should be counted toward new RHNA allocations, and;
- c. Newport Beach has a significant number of undocumented rentals and low rent rooms in homes throughout the City which are not accounted for and which should be considered in new RHNA allocations because of their provision for low cost housing, and;
- d. Newport Beach gives permits for 56 boat "live-aboards" and could consider more to provide low-cost housing, and;
- e. Residents of Newport have successfully opposed new luxury condos in favor of planning for more affordable units, and;



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Whereas, the RHNA allocations called for by the State would seriously destabilize other parts of our previously well-planned infrastructure including schools, and;

Whereas, Newport Beach is currently undertaking a complete General Plan Update to include a focus on sustainability and reducing vehicle miles traveled (VMT), and;

Whereas, Newport Beach should not have to sacrifice the long standing values and programs established in our General Plan, including pending successful efforts to preserve the Banning Ranch, and;

Whereas, Newport Beach has a health and safety challenge of serious consequences:

- a. in planning for potential emergency evacuations, and;
- b. in managing on a daily basis the timely and effective response for emergency vehicles due to overcrowded roads and arterials, and;

Whereas, the RHNA allocations and schedule being placed on SCAG cities, including Newport Beach, create a chaos and turmoil for citizens and officials who have worked for and relied upon the many values, unique circumstances and related local ordinances stated above;

Therefore be it resolved that the organizations and individuals below request that the City of Newport Beach convey to the State that the City cannot meet the schedule that is currently demanded and the State’s RHNA allocation is not acceptable unless and until the City has negotiated and agreed to the resolution of the issues and numbers given above and the City is able to show that any new zoning can be consistent with our General Plan.

Approved January 20, 2020

Bruce Bartram

SPON President



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 7:49:11	Charles	Klobe	92663	
1/21/2020 7:49:53	Nancy	Thompson	92625	
1/21/2020 7:50:33	Jerome	Thissen	92660	oppose the current RNHA
1/21/2020 7:52:31	georgia	foell	92660	
1/21/2020 7:52:35	Jessica	Collie	92660	Completely against this overcrowding of our beautiful place we call home
1/21/2020 7:53:18	Marilyn & Steve	Gausewitz	92663	Affordable housing done in a manner that doesn't compromise community values is needed
1/21/2020 7:53:27	NICOLE	REYNOLDS	92625	Riverside & San Bernardino had RHNA housing reduced & moved to OC. This must be reversed!
1/21/2020 7:54:14	margaret	quon	92625	
1/21/2020 7:54:26	Tamara	Watt	92660	
1/21/2020 7:55:22	Karen	Carlson	92625	
1/21/2020 7:56:25	Debra	Miller	92662	There is no room in the city for 4832 more housing units. This is unnecessary, unrealistic and simply impossible. Obviously, whoever decided on this number has never been here. The city is already too crowded, too overbuilt, and has too much traffic. Enough is enough.
1/21/2020 7:56:35	Chip	Long	92660	
1/21/2020 7:57:36	ROBERT	HANLEY	92663	We, in Newport Beach, are fully capable of developing our visionary plan to provide affordable housing without sacrificing the character of what Newport is.
1/21/2020 8:00:11	Sheila & Richard	Seberg	92660	
1/21/2020 8:01:22	Denise	Mitchell	92625	
1/21/2020 8:05:22	Sarah	Pascoe	92625	
1/21/2020 8:05:46	Anita	Brown	92660	
1/21/2020 8:06:47	Jennifer	Cole	92660	
1/21/2020 8:07:33	Ronald	Cole	92660	
1/21/2020 8:07:34	William	Kaley	92663	The solution to overcrowding is not more overcrowding
1/21/2020 8:10:11	Alice	Blair	92660	
1/21/2020 8:11:10	Elizabeth	Hallett	92625	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 8:12:05	Ron	Yeo	92625	
1/21/2020 8:12:14	Norm	Gritsch	92660	
1/21/2020 8:18:14	Larry	Dart	92625	
1/21/2020 8:21:02	Nancy Lynn	Beck	92660	
1/21/2020 8:22:05	Charlene	Murphy	92663	
1/21/2020 8:23:30	James & Carol	Dunlap	92625	
1/21/2020 8:25:26	Susan	De Santis	92657	Thank you for your efforts to work alongside the City on the housing and development issues in our city.
1/21/2020 8:26:22	Barbara	Kraus	92663	
1/21/2020 8:26:47	Judi	Baker	92663	
1/21/2020 8:27:08	Jacqueline	Weir	92657	
1/21/2020 8:27:40	jasmine	moini	92625	
1/21/2020 8:29:56	Jeana & Michael	Daily	92663	
1/21/2020 8:31:10	Linda	Ritchie	92625	Over the last 22 years that I have been a resident of CDM, the traffic congestion has increased many fold. What was a once a 5 min ride down PCH from Cameo Highlands to Mac Arthur (2miles), now can take up to 20 minutes. Not only is it an annoyance of daily life, but it significantly increases pollution with all of the idling cars. The quality of life from the traffic and housing density with not enough garage parking (e.g. new condos that don't require two parking spots/unit) has had a direct negative impact on the quality of life in this beautiful community.
1/21/2020 8:31:27	terry	becker	92660	Our city is already overcrowded. We don't want our city compromised more with high density housing.
1/21/2020 8:31:57	Steven	Leonard	92625	
1/21/2020 8:32:31	Lewis	becker	92660	
1/21/2020 8:32:45	DeAnna	Reposa	92625	
1/21/2020 8:33:59	Sean	McCloskey	92660	This policy was designed with vitriol toward our community. There is no genuine intent to solve a problem.
1/21/2020 8:36:05	Dorothy	Kraus	92663	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents

xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.

Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 8:37:33	Suzanne	Forster	92663	
1/21/2020 8:43:52	ED & JEAN	VANDEN BOSSCHE	92663	
1/21/2020 8:50:24	Mark	Knaeps	92660	I fully agree with the contents of this petition.
1/21/2020 8:51:15	Jean	Watt	92660	Thank you for doing this.
1/21/2020 8:51:36	Robert	Moosmann	92660	Power to the people, not just the few advancing their bias in Sacramento
1/21/2020 8:51:46	Mary Ann	Hemphill	92662	
1/21/2020 8:57:22	Judy	Bernstein	92625	
1/21/2020 8:59:54	Robert	Young	92625	
1/21/2020 9:03:13	doris	stoughton	92625	
1/21/2020 9:03:23	Beverly	Moosmann	92660	The prospect of adding another 4,832 new houses to Newport Beach is unrealistic and unfounded. There simply is no room to add this many new houses in our city and the infrastructure is presently inadequate to handle the growth that has already occurred in Newport. It is unrealistic and operationally unfathomable that the city can absorb another 4,832 houses and serve the increased population that will result. The city currently lacks the resources to support our present population and our roads, schools, social and city services are already overburdened. I am STRONGLY opposed to this and will do whatever I can to defeat it.
1/21/2020 9:04:51	Jeff	Herdman	92662	
1/21/2020 9:05:08	Bart	Brown	92657	
1/21/2020 9:06:02	donald	stoughton	92625	
1/21/2020 9:10:05	James	Sutter	92625	I understand the problem and the need, but this number is not workable in our crowded community.
1/21/2020 9:10:12	Jon	Flagg	92663	
1/21/2020 9:16:29	Maria	Sipowicz	92625	
1/21/2020 9:17:08	Claire	Jessen	92660	
1/21/2020 9:19:47	Jeff	Schaffer	92660	
1/21/2020 9:20:11	Robert & Carol	Friis	92625	
1/21/2020 9:20:35	Michelle	Schaffer	92660	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 9:24:30	Andy	Lingle	92660	We currently have 51 moorings for live-aboard boats. Since they fit the city's definition of a dwelling (a kitchen, a restroom, and a place to sleep), they should be counted for RHNA and we could easily zone for 50 more. For the most part they're also affordable.
1/21/2020 9:27:07	Michael	Smith	92625	The state's demands defy local and unique issues.
1/21/2020 9:28:42	John	Kidde	92625	This is not Miami Beach! Why can't the City Council think like Santa Barbara & enact resolutions to properly support our current infrastructure which is lacking?!
1/21/2020 9:34:21	David	Middlemas	92660	
1/21/2020 9:39:18	Nancy	Mcnash	92660	
1/21/2020 9:41:54	Mary	Duggan	92660	
1/21/2020 9:45:20	john	petry	92625	
1/21/2020 9:49:01	Janet	Ronnenberg	92663	Thank you for your time and energy to protect our city.
1/21/2020 9:55:02	Jane & Dan	Terry	92660	We support SPON's resolution, and ask you to do the same. The RNHA numbers are grossly miscalculated, as they appear to not take into account several economic factors. I respectfully suggest you reference Jim Dotti's (Chapman University) recent Economic & Business Review, in which he discusses this (Volume 38 Number 1 December 2019, page 24 "A Faulty Report Leads to Faulty State Policy"). Or better yet, call on him. Additionally, Newport Beach area is a beach community, serving a tourist trade and supporting recreational activities. This niche is not suited for cramming in more housing, more traffic, and more strains on the eco system.
1/21/2020 10:03:48	Carol	Wynn	92625	
1/21/2020 10:04:09	Susan	Anderson	92660	Thoughtful development in a partnership with our citizens and city leaders is the way to present the best housing solutions!
1/21/2020 10:04:34	John	Markley	92660	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 10:10:24	Lynn	Lorenz	92663	SCAG unfairly burdened coastal cities with “undoable” quotas for housing when the inland empire has so much more land and opportunities to build affordable housing. Ignoring the fragile nature of Coastal beaches- “ climate change” erosion and sea-rise in the next decade is irresponsible.
1/21/2020 10:11:49	Nancy	Watkins	92662	NO MORE DEVELOPMENT!! Our roads and infrastructure are already dangerously overloaded.
1/21/2020 10:13:05	Mary	Peikert	92663	
1/21/2020 10:13:38	Amy	Haggard	92625	
1/21/2020 10:14:16	Sorrell	wayne	92660	unreasonable numbers for NB
1/21/2020 10:14:34	Walter	Haggard	92625	
1/21/2020 10:16:01	newt	wayne	92660	
1/21/2020 10:32:38	Marcel & Elisabeth	Blatter	92660	
1/21/2020 10:32:50	RITA	GUARRIELLO	92625	
1/21/2020 10:35:58	William	Cool	92625	You have my full support in opposing the state plan.
1/21/2020 10:36:58	Randy	Tyler	92663	Time to take this to court! Nearly half of the homes around me are vacant. Absentee owners who are parking their savings in vacant homes. That's not a "housing shortage"!
1/21/2020 10:37:39	Jim	Cokas	92660	
1/21/2020 10:45:05	Ed	Siebel	92662	
1/21/2020 10:45:35	Denise & Ted	Oglesby	92625	
1/21/2020 10:53:17	Patrick	Harkin	92660	
1/21/2020 10:53:38	virginia	cassara	92625	
1/21/2020 10:55:19	Janice	Carsten	92660	We already have too many residences which cause traffic gridlock and significant demands on our water supply. Also negatively impacted are local public schools and other facilities and events. Even a small number of additional homes will escalate these problems and negatively effect the quality of life in Newport Beach. This will drive down property values and cause tax-paying residence to leave the area, as well as reducing the tourism attraction to Newport. The 4,832 required new residences in Newport Beach will ruin this city.
1/21/2020 10:55:33	Carolyn	Middlebrooks	92663	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 10:56:48	Victoria	Cubeiro	92660	This demand from the State is draconian and absolutely unsustainable. We do not have the water services, electrical, street available, schools to absorb this mass of housing units. We would have put up New York sized and dense housing, do we want tenements here?
1/21/2020 11:00:27	Patrick	Collins	92661	
1/21/2020 11:03:31	Robin	Chesnie	92663	
1/21/2020 11:04:53	Elizabeth	Stahr	92625	These are not our residents that are homeless .
1/21/2020 11:07:04	Karen	Behringer	92625	
1/21/2020 11:11:56	david	Grant	92663	
1/21/2020 11:15:02	Ben	Cagle	92660	senseless state government !
1/21/2020 11:24:19	Vartan	Piroumian	92660	
1/21/2020 11:24:25	Susana	Tamayo	92663	
1/21/2020 11:37:56	Holly	Fuhrer	92660	
1/21/2020 11:40:40	William	White	92625	
1/21/2020 11:42:18	Jean	Wegener	92660	
1/21/2020 11:47:19	natalie	Giannulli	92660	
1/21/2020 11:47:44	Tom	Giannulli	92660	
1/21/2020 11:52:25	Paul	Christ	92660	The city of Newport Beach residents need to control their own destiny. If Legal action is necessary to thwart unrealistic obligations being forced down our throats, let the action begin.
1/21/2020 11:53:01	James & Elizabeth	Ward	92663	
1/21/2020 11:53:50	Joyce	Piercey	92625	The roads are already clogged with vehicles from current residents.
1/21/2020 12:10:07	Bryan	McDonald	92625	
1/21/2020 12:15:45	Ruth	Evans	92663	
1/21/2020 12:16:46	Patricia	Wedterman	92660	
1/21/2020 12:18:14	Joanne	Tyler	92663	
1/21/2020 12:23:35	Maxine	Czisny	92660	Vote NO on the Housing Resolution
1/21/2020 12:25:04	Jo Carol	Hunter	92660	A previous RHNA number that the City of Newport Beach was given was 5. The City of Newport Beach permitted over 1,700 units. I believe that alone should warrant a large reduction in the number of units the City of Newport Beach now must provide.



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents

xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.

Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 12:28:01	Joanne & Dennis	Keith	92625	
1/21/2020 12:32:26	Lisa	Schlaeger	92663	Do not build those units. Traffic is unbearable as it is!!!
1/21/2020 12:39:47	Georgia	Bradburne	92663	
1/21/2020 12:40:22	Boyd	Peters	92663	
1/21/2020 12:49:49	Roberta	Schmidt	92661	
1/21/2020 12:50:53	John	Rinek	92663	
1/21/2020 12:57:18	Edgar	Spinney	92660	
1/21/2020 13:13:25	Lance	Gilbertson	92660	Strongly agree!
1/21/2020 13:23:05	Shari	Ciko	92625	
1/21/2020 13:24:45	Philip	Gold	92625	
1/21/2020 13:25:18	Marsha	Kendall	92660	
1/21/2020 13:32:22	Gay	Anthony-Holmes	92661	We don't need new housing to add to our traffic nightmare!
1/21/2020 13:35:43	Lynn	Stranberg	92625	
1/21/2020 13:36:33	Janet	Probst	92660	
1/21/2020 13:41:15	Susan	Orth	92625	
1/21/2020 13:42:24	Leon	Skeie	92625	
1/21/2020 13:43:11	Cheryl	Skeie	92625	
1/21/2020 13:44:44	Bob	Yant	92661	
1/21/2020 13:58:33	Linda	Buzzard	92625	
1/21/2020 14:01:44	Barbara	Lee	92660	
1/21/2020 14:03:14	Russell	Niewiarowski	92660	The amount of natural open space in San Diego, Orange, Riverside and San Bernardino Counties is rapidly disappearing. Los Angeles County has virtually no natural open space. Orange County is rapidly becoming another Los Angeles as most cities approve new high density residential developments. Our roads and freeways cannot handle traffic from the ever growing influx of more residents. Yet the State Government offers no solution to mitigate traffic gridlock and continue to allow, and now force cities to add more residents? This is absurd. Let the cities and state FIRST plan and develop new high speed transportation systems to ease current gridlock BEFORE talking adding more traffic.



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 14:07:41	Jeans	Sciarappa Schott	92657	
1/21/2020 14:08:31	Norman	Suker	92663	
1/21/2020 14:12:57	Maureen	McCarthy	92663	
1/21/2020 14:16:31	Ron - Anna	Winship	92625	Good idea to create the Advisory Committee.
1/21/2020 14:18:06	jesse	spears	92660	
1/21/2020 14:18:52	Stephanie	Reay	92660	
1/21/2020 14:28:12	Janet	Hamilton	92660	
1/21/2020 14:36:42	Diane	Seals	92625	
1/21/2020 14:37:27	Joseph	DeCarlo	92661	Housing without treatment facilities is a waste of money. Re open Fairview Development Center in Costa Mesa which has room for 3,000 as well as medical, food halls and treatment centers that is lying presently in waste
1/21/2020 14:44:10	Elizabeth	Kennedy	92625	
1/21/2020 14:48:16	carol	hartman	92660	92660
1/21/2020 14:49:54	Stacey	Migliori	92625	
1/21/2020 14:50:56	Denny	Geiler	92660	Newport Beach is a small town and does not have much room for additional residential units. The mandate is supposed to solve the homeless problem but more housing is not solution.
1/21/2020 15:14:27	Dr Donald W	Wise	92660	I am empathetic to these important issues but the numbers dictated by the State of California are not rational.
1/21/2020 15:24:59	Martha	Peyton	92625	
1/21/2020 15:33:09	Gayle	Jones	92625	
1/21/2020 15:38:24	Nickole	Jaquess	92660	Adding this much housing will make this area more congested.
1/21/2020 15:38:49	Marilyn	Elmer	92657	
1/21/2020 15:41:42	Richard	Sungaila	92660	
1/21/2020 15:45:29	Marjorie	Dalton	92625	I support and endorse the SPON Resolution regarding the Newport Beach Homeless Crisis
1/21/2020 16:06:13	Ursula	Cook	92660	
1/21/2020 16:09:57	Carroll	Hochschild	92625	
1/21/2020 16:34:25	Holly	Lincoln	92660	Stop building.
1/21/2020 16:40:13	Patricia	Middlemas	92660	
1/21/2020 16:50:41	Sandra	Haskell	92625	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 16:51:59	Chris	Danoff	92663	Many new warehouses and assembly facilities are being built inland, where housing is needed. Almond agriculture is unneeded, or should be taxed: it wastes much land.
1/21/2020 16:58:00	Paul	Malkemus	92663	
1/21/2020 17:00:36	Richard	Haskell	92625	
1/21/2020 17:02:06	Susan	Gaunt	92660	
1/21/2020 17:10:28	judy	fisher	92657	
1/21/2020 17:22:55	Dennis	Baker	92625	
1/21/2020 17:53:15	Anita	Clark	92657	
1/21/2020 17:56:10	John	Livingston	92660	
1/21/2020 18:07:38	Gloria	Smith	92662	
1/21/2020 18:19:44	Lucinda	Marder	92660	
1/21/2020 18:28:24	Sandra	King	92625	
1/21/2020 19:09:52	Laurie	Kelly	92660	
1/21/2020 19:27:03	Susie	Crider	92625	
1/21/2020 19:47:19	Catherine	Sloan	92660	I support and endorse SPON's
1/21/2020 19:53:26	TIMOTHY	RORAK	92657	Why cant City find an old office building to buy & repurpose to be used to house the homeless in a commercial area in lieu of a residential area?
1/21/2020 20:12:07	Betty Gale	Demmer	92663	
1/21/2020 20:15:21	Mary	Ker	92662	
1/21/2020 20:19:36	Lynn	Friedman	92663	
1/21/2020 20:21:13	Gail	York	92660	
1/21/2020 20:25:37	Patricia	Nichols	92625	The quality of our community will be destroyed. Yes, the housing problem is terrible but by adding almost 5000 houses we'll have roads, endless traffic, retail stores & residences. No open space to speak of. Tell people to have smaller families. We are adding 100 million people to the planet annually - accurate statistic.
1/21/2020 20:27:10	Elaine	Linhoff	92661	
1/21/2020 20:30:22	Mike	Hamrock	92660	Newport Beach is already over populated and doesn't need any more unwarranted housing.
1/21/2020 20:50:29	Anthony	Fedoryk	92657	
1/21/2020 20:51:23	Melissa	Fedoryk	92657	
1/21/2020 20:52:49	Grant	Reynolds	92663	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents

xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.

Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 20:55:39	Marjorie	Sawyer	92625	Do we have to make all our cities a mass of congested pieces of cement and roads that we now can hardly maneuver. The States housing requests are ridiculous and do not reflect any kind of thoughtful study about communities in our State. The roads are overloaded and make travel a nightmare for citizens and in particular the emergency persons. Newport Beach must be firm and present our case of a much lower number of houses.
1/21/2020 21:36:23	Rosalie	Puleo	92660	This has got to stop!! Governor is ruining our state and now our city!
1/21/2020 21:37:28	Ann	Ramser	92660	Enough is enough! Sacramento is completely out of touch!
1/21/2020 21:51:45	Phoebe	Loos	92660	
1/21/2020 22:28:47	Eileen	Saul	92660	
1/21/2020 22:42:19	Mary	Madison	92662	
1/21/2020 23:55:20	Judith Diane	Baker	92625	SPON created a well thought out resolution in response to RHNA. I wholeheartedly agree with every "whereas" mentioned in the document.
1/22/2020 0:03:47	Lori	Bloom	92625	
1/22/2020 2:19:03	Walter	Dietiker	92660	I believe the number mandate by the State is unreasonable considering the size of the city and type residential properties within the city.
1/22/2020 5:35:54	Ann	Pauli	92660	
1/22/2020 6:47:34	Gail	Jones	92660	
1/22/2020 6:48:02	Clifton	Jones	92660	
1/22/2020 6:49:45	Ben	Rubin	92660	
1/22/2020 6:59:48	Ronald	Dethloff	92660	The State should not have the power to dictate # of housing units in our city, or any city !!!!
1/22/2020 7:05:57	Mary	Bacon	92660	
1/22/2020 7:09:02	Dana	Dietel	92625	
1/22/2020 7:09:12	Gina	Van Ocker	92660	
1/22/2020 7:16:54	lynn & joe	crutchley	92660	
1/22/2020 7:44:11	Bruce	Macauley	92660	I am in total agreement with SPON
1/22/2020 7:45:24	Diana	Miner	92663	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/22/2020 8:01:52	Roger	Thomas	92660	We all need to be involved in seeking a solution. State numerical mandates are the starting point for this discussion. The residents of NB need to make the final determination.
1/22/2020 8:27:12	Dianna	Sahhar	92660	thank you for staying on top of this, for the good of the people of NB!
1/22/2020 8:32:49	Marianne	Amling	92660	
1/22/2020 8:47:56	Cheryl	Van Ocker	92660	
1/22/2020 9:10:35	Patricia	Randle	92625	
1/22/2020 9:25:27	Lynn	Swain	92660	
1/22/2020 9:58:39	Carol	Lind	92663	
1/22/2020 10:11:00	Julie	Thornton	92660	
1/22/2020 10:35	Ryal	Richards	92663	
1/22/2020 10:39	Michael & Dawn	Ringo	92660	No homeless person has a right to decide where they want to be homeless, and then require THAT city to provide them housing. Cities do NOT have a moral obligation to provide affordable housing. I want to live on Balboa Island! Should Balboa be obligated to provide a home I can afford, just because I want to live there,? NO!
1/22/2020 11:50	William	Bohrk	92660	
1/22/2020 12:11	Karen	James	92625	
1/22/2020 12:37	Rebecca	Boukather	92660	
1/22/2020 13:37	Barbara	Yeager	92663	
1/22/2020 13:40	Kurt	Yeager	92663	We value our residential neighborhoods and do not want to see them degraded by ill-sited high density housing. Local planning should be respected, not bypassed.
1/22/2020 14:22	Doretta	Ensign	92625	The topography & space limitations of Newport/Corona Del Mar make that many dwellings beyond possible. Not to mention a disaster ecologically.
1/22/2020 14:51	Win	Win Rhodes	92625	I supportt the Resolution
1/22/2020 15:33	mindy	miller	92660	
1/22/2020 17:07	Kimberly	Kegans	92660	My concern is primarily infrastructure and additional traffic that our city isn't prepared to handle. Water is also a major concern.



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/22/2020 17:27	Tom / Jan	Shields	92625	We support SPON's Resolution
1/22/2020 18:24	Karen	Clark	92625	
1/22/2020 19:10	Mary	Venturini	92660	
1/22/2020 20:15	Susan	Rinek	92663	
1/22/2020 20:37	Nat & April	Harty	92625	Totally Support reasoned and logically thought our "local" density and per acre yield studies rather than overly broad and locally uninformed mandates form Sacramento
1/22/2020 21:08	Lin	He	92625	
1/22/2020 21:09	Jingrong	Chen	92625	
1/22/2020 21:56	Christine	Hartshorn	92657	No No No No No
1/22/2020 22:01	Mark	Kegans	92660	Traffic! Congestion! Infrastructure!
1/22/2020 22:21	Louise	Lee	92660	There is already too much building and resulting traffic which needs to be stopped
1/22/2020 22:41	Linda	Rogers	92663	Ridiculous to imagine almost 5,000 new residences in Newport Beach. Where? Traffic?
1/22/2020 23:14	Sundra	Sanchez	92625	We can't possibly handle more housing in our City, we are full.
1/22/2020 23:33	Carol	Reinhold	92660	92660
1/23/2020 1:50	william	Edkins	92625	
1/23/2020 8:25	Tingting	Rose	92663	This housing plan will cause more traffic congestion and devaluate Newport Beach real estate property.
1/23/2020 8:44	Bruce	Bartram	92663	
1/23/2020 8:51	Linda	Simmonds	92625	
1/23/2020 8:57	Bonnie	McClellan	92661	I support the SPON resolution
1/23/2020 9:51		Banning Ranch Conservancy	92663	
1/23/2020 11:14	Helga	Pralle	92625	
1/23/2020 11:48	Peggy	Palmer	92663	Why would we want to develop at this pace when there is a mass exodus from this state, no water, no infrastructure and the on-slaught of global warming? I suggest building apartments by the airport for City staffers who wish to reside in Newport Beach and reduce their pension to offset affordable living. The proposed 5,000 homes is insane along with Gavin Newsom.



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/23/2020 11:51	Michael	Palmer	92663	The "Plop-Drop and Destroy" approach to the RHNA count mandated by the state is hazardous to our children and community. We have no evacuation plan nor the facilities to support this type of irresponsible growth.
1/23/2020 13:03	Katherine	Choate	92663	The addition of this suggested massive amount of housing is absurd in our small community. It's incredible how politicians come up with solutions that are not possible. This is a classic example of passing the buck without any investigation. It's very concerning that there appears to be no consideration for the taxpayers who fund these proposed solutions. I worry for our state.
1/23/2020 13:08	Linda	Watkins	92660	
1/23/2020 13:48	Margaret	Stewart	92661	Resolution reflects major concerns. Access to many parts of Newport Beach is limited by being bordered by the ocean and that limitation creates a major safety hazard to the community. Just on a Sunday afternoon not only in the summer but other times as well the back up on the roadway to leave the Peninsula can be up to two hours. I am a CERT block captain and am trained and aware of such a safety consideration.
1/23/2020 13:56	Gail	Mooers	92663	
1/23/2020 14:26	Deborah	Lugo	92660	
1/23/2020 14:29	Kristen	Horgan	92660	
1/23/2020 14:44	p	weiss	92663	Newport Beach does not have the infrastructure to support the addition of the 4,832 mandated housing units to our city.
1/23/2020 15:38	Michael & June	Pilsitz	92625	
1/23/2020 15:42	Theresa	Lier	92625	
1/23/2020 16:35	Polly	Smith	92625	
1/23/2020 16:35	Shirley	Knutsen	92662	
1/23/2020 17:07	Leslie	ORourke	92662	Please support this resolution.
1/23/2020 17:48	Geri	Ferguson	92663	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/23/2020 17:48	Geri	Ferguson	92663	
				Newport Beach, where we pay exorbitant taxes do not want Projects popping up, which Gavin Newsome is proposing! Our Govt officials need to get to the bottom of this crisis and simply putting 'projects' up in our community is unacceptable. Newsome is proposing a band-aid on an issue that requires a tourniquet. Build these Projects where open space is readily available! We have a mental illness/drug and alcohol crisis happening which is what needs to be addressed. Just ask former Presidential Candidate Buttigieg how building affordable housing in his community worked out. The road to hell is paved with good intentions.
1/23/2020 18:18	Juli	Hayden	92663	
1/23/2020 19:13	vicki & don	ronaldson	92663	NB is densely populated. Plz strongly limit building, residential and commercial.
1/23/2020 19:15	Willard	Dunlap	92663	
1/23/2020 19:21	Nancy	Skinner	92660	
1/23/2020 19:22	Susan	Skinner	92660	
1/23/2020 19:27	sharon	ray	92663	
1/23/2020 19:29	Vikki	Swanson	92625	
1/23/2020 19:36	Stephanie	Lu	92657	
1/23/2020 19:37	Annie	Lu	92657	
1/23/2020 19:37	Derong	Lu	92657	
1/23/2020 19:51	Lina	Caturano	92625	
1/23/2020 21:05	Josh	Speye	92660	
1/23/2020 21:13	Nicole	Foster	92625	
1/23/2020 21:31	R	Weiss	92663	
1/23/2020 22:41	Allan	Beek	92660	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/24/2020 6:30	Valerie	Carson	92663	Our homes are our castles. Our land investments are our property. The government is by the people and for the people. This is not a dictatorship. The government should protect us from this kind of problem not mandate that we ruin our families, lives, homes, property & families by dictating how we should live because a different population of people have abused their existence through ignorance, bad parenting, alcohol or drug abuse, entering the USA illegally, by not studying in school or learning a trade, or mental problems. These are people the government & it's services is obligated to take care of. Those in government must balance the budget & provide education, temporary housing on State run properties, job placement, physical & mental rehabilitation to these lost transient people. Our government is bound by their oath of office to do these things.
1/24/2020 8:01	Patrick	Gormley	92663	This issue must be dealt with through the General Plan process to assure the our Community Values guide the future of Newport Beach.
1/24/2020 10:11	john	gessford	92625	
1/24/2020 10:11	Janet	Kiser	92625	
1/24/2020 10:22	tatum	cain	92660	
1/24/2020 10:40	Joy	Ward	92663	
1/24/2020 10:56	Heather	Glen	92660	
1/24/2020 11:13	Diane	Nelson	92663	
1/24/2020 11:38	SUSAN	FRIEND	92660	
1/24/2020 12:17	Tamaralee	Cox	92660	
1/24/2020 12:20	Arronlea	Searcy	92663	
1/24/2020 12:44	Brett	Hemphill	92660	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/24/2020 12:48	Catherine	Nelson	92663	
1/24/2020 14:54	Lyleen	Ewing	92662	
1/24/2020 14:55	Anne	Kent	92660	
1/24/2020 14:56	Elizabeth	Gerson	92660	
1/24/2020 15:14	Max	Johnson	92661	
1/24/2020 16:00	Tully & Janette Seymour	Seymour	92660	
1/24/2020 16:50	Mary	Roosevelt	92625	I support the SPON Resolution.
1/24/2020 16:58	Nancy	Alston	92660	
1/24/2020 17:02	John	Lindgren	92625	
1/24/2020 17:21	Karen	Tringali	92625	The Coastal Commission should be supporting us on this issue. We cannot possible accommodate over 250,000 inland visitors who enjoy our community on summer weekends and holidays if we jam in another 10,000-15,000 new residents in Newport Beach. And each coastal city is facing the same problem which compounds the problem exponentially.
1/24/2020 17:23	John	Reilly	92625	a fool's errand . . .
1/24/2020 18:56	Gwynne	Harrold	92625	Unrealistic amount of housing for our area
1/24/2020 19:25	Harman	Harrold	92625	
1/24/2020 19:35	Diana	Brookes	92660	
1/24/2020 21:00	Rosalie	Friedman	92625	Not in favor of building more housing in the Corona Del Mar/Newport Beach area. We have traffic congestion overload now and it would only increase by more housing development.
1/24/2020 22:01	Marianne	Farrell	92625	
1/25/2020 2:57	Robert & Carole	Duesler	92660	No Way! Maybe the old Fairview building. we dont have enough green space as it is. More buildings, more people, more schools, more cars more parkingg lots. unhealthy
1/25/2020 5:10	Linda	Stadler	92625	
1/25/2020 8:38	Kathy	Hamilton	92625	
1/25/2020 9:21	Robert Gene & Lynn April	Hartline	92625	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents

xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.

Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/24/2020 17:37	Denys	Oberman	92661	<p>The City of Newport Beach offers, for its residents and public visitors, a distinctive and finite menu of Natural Resources--ocean, bay, hills,wetlands . These are finite and need to be preserved at all cost. The General Plan needs to protect these areas in perpetuity---they cannot be recovered. Futhermore, these resources are delicate, and are vulnerable to natural disasters if they are over-intensified, particularly with development that stresses integrity and creates life safety threats with ingress/egress.(floods, landslides, liquifaction). Residential development should be ONLY in the context of where it does not over-burden and increase life safety risk. A sound, realistic Land Use Plan, developed through adequate vision , evaluation and public process, is critical to making decisions that do not create adverse impacts that irrevocably change our residential communities, and put our citizens and the public at risk. Sound Housing development decisions must flow from a well thought out Land Use Element of the General Plan--definitely not vice versa. There are definitely some areas that could be intensified---where additional intensification is appropriate. There are others where intensification is counterproductive.Our thoroughfares across Newport Beach also are inherently limited, and so should residential development needs to be carefully considered. We need to ,through careful process, "inventory" our assets with legitimate potential for reuse and see what makes sense. Right now, staff appears to be making knee-jerk recommendations. Real estate development has long term and some irrevocable implications, and should not be taken lightly. Thank you.</p>
1/25/2020 9:44	Nancy	Gardner	92625	
1/25/2020 10:04	Brian	Stewart	92662	
1/25/2020 10:36	SHANNON	INOUYE	92625	
1/25/2020 10:37	Virginia	Riley	92625	
1/25/2020 11:27	Warren H	James	92625	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/25/2020 11:35	Mary	Lucas	92625	Please, We do not need more high density units in Newport, As it is right now the traffic is so heavy, Before long we will begin to resemble LA.
1/25/2020 11:39	Linda	Patton	92660	
1/25/2020 11:42	Pamela	McCullough	92625	There is not a housing crisis, there is a leadership crisis which has lead to ridiculous policies that have allowed for homelessness to be deemed ok. Since when is it ok to litter, harass, loiter, shoot up illegal drugs and threaten tax abiding residents? People are coming here from all over because they CAN and now homelessness has become a thriving business. It started in the 70's when they closed the mental hospitals. Liberal policies are to blame. More housing will NOT fix the issue when the majority of the homeless don't even want to be "confined" to a home. THIS IS A SCAM.
1/25/2020 11:48	Mark	McCullough	92625	
1/25/2020 12:17	Jack & Elizabeth	Scrivner	92625	
1/25/2020 12:39	John	Murray	92625	
1/25/2020 12:41	Robert & Ann	Lucas	92625	
1/25/2020 12:52	Toni	VanSchultze	92660	
1/25/2020 13:10	Cynthia & Ronald	Laporte	92663	
1/25/2020 13:18	Raman	Tejwani	92660	
1/25/2020 13:19	Ryan	Long	92663	
1/25/2020 13:19	Carol	Botten	92663	
1/25/2020 13:22	Seychelle	Cannes	92662	
1/25/2020 13:23	Mary Allyn	Dexter	92661	
1/25/2020 13:26	Joanne, John	Snelgrove	92663	
1/25/2020 13:32	Barbara	Thibault	92663	
1/25/2020 13:41	Jonathan	Weiner	92663	
1/25/2020 13:49	Sue	Hummel	92663	We need open space. We have already destroyed the majority of the space.
1/25/2020 13:59	Bobbi	Schaaf	92625	Please keep Banning Ranch as is. No housing on this site.
1/25/2020 14:02	Robert	Bauch	92625	
1/25/2020 14:21	Gerard	Proccacino	92663	I support The Banning Ranch Conservancy and SPON with their efforts not to Los Angelize Newport Beach CA. Shame on our city electives for trying too.



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/25/2020 14:30	Robert	Sinclair	92660	
1/25/2020 14:32	Jennifer	McDonald	92660	
1/25/2020 14:34	Emil & Kathleen	Horvath	92625	
1/25/2020 14:40	Brian	Bilder	92663	I have lived and owned in Newport Beach since 1984. I have raised two fine daughters and we have always been active in the community. Please stop approving these wasteful, polluting and profit focused projects that benefit only the irresponsible rich and the faux needy. They destroy the quality of life and our communities. Enough greed and fakery at the high end and the low end! Support this resolution!
1/25/2020 14:48	Penny	Elia	92657	Shame on you, City of Newport Beach.
1/25/2020 14:49	Greg & Shirley	Reinker	92663	
1/25/2020 15:10	maureen & john	buffington/santo	92660	We fought too long to preserve this wilderness wetland to let it go to development now.
1/25/2020 15:11	Linda	Mellen	92661	No more development too much already. Every city must provide shelter.
1/25/2020 15:41	Tom	Schottmiller	92663	
1/25/2020 15:57	francis	cignotti	92663	
1/25/2020 16:18	Colin	Browne	92663	Please do not develop Banning Ranch
1/25/2020 16:29	Marykay	Shelton	92657	
1/25/2020 16:31	Jeffrey	Ellmore	92663	
1/25/2020 16:34	Mitchel	Garfield	92663	
1/25/2020 16:42	Porter	Vaughan	92663	
1/25/2020 16:43	Linda	Bennett	92657	
1/25/2020 17:00	Tracy	Specter	92660	
1/25/2020 17:46	Elizabeth	Malonw	92663	
1/25/2020 17:55	Christen	Garrett	92663	
1/25/2020 18:12	Linda	Simos	92663	
1/25/2020 18:24	Jennifer	Irani	92663	Just because the City neglected to build low income housing over the years and took funds instead, they should not be allowed to build on Banning Ranch or other precious habitats as a default. I've been around long enough to know the City had many chances to build low income but repeatedly choose to take money from developers instead of making them build the units that were required. That is not our problem - it was the City problem and they need to make it right!!



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/25/2020 18:24	Eric	Furlong	92663	
1/25/2020 18:24	Christine	Carr	92663	
1/25/2020 18:51	Marjaneh	Afkhami	92663	
1/25/2020 18:52	kayvon	goodarzy	92663	
1/25/2020 18:52	sohrob	goodarzy	92663	
1/25/2020 19:18	Huguette	Wilson	92663	
1/25/2020 19:27	Jack	Rose	92663	
1/25/2020 20:20	Kathleen	Tucker	92660	
1/25/2020 20:46	John	Storch	92661	
1/25/2020 20:58	Linda	Oeth	92625	
1/25/2020 22:12	Jennifer	Kong	92663	
1/25/2020 23:00	Margaret	Rumsfeld	92625	
1/25/2020 23:50	Theresa	Golden	92663	
1/26/2020 4:45	John	Landre	92663	
1/26/2020 5:19	Patrick	O'Sullivan	92625	
1/26/2020 6:03	Peter & Jackie	Warren	92660	
1/26/2020 6:58	Karthleen	Edman	92663	
1/26/2020 7:21	JD	Fox	92660	
1/26/2020 7:51	MARGARET	Paselk	92625	
1/26/2020 8:02	Mark	Tabbert	92660	
1/26/2020 9:01	Dave	Sutherland	92663	
1/26/2020 9:26	Martha	Tringali	92660	Terrible burden, especially on the elderly making it harder for us to get around through crowds and traffic
1/26/2020 9:45	Charlotte	Saydah	92625	
1/26/2020 10:01	Kim	Williams	92663	
1/26/2020 10:16	Nancy	Scarborough	92663	
1/26/2020 10:36	Virginia	Anders-Ellmore	92663	
1/26/2020 10:38	Neilk	Young	92663	Newport Beach is already a traffic nightmare.
1/26/2020 10:48	Jeffrey	Carsten	92660	We have enough traffic and clogged streets. An addition 4800+ is not good for our community. Please have Newsom to put 4800+ homes in his neighborhood. This issue makes the airport problems small in comparison.
1/26/2020 10:50	Randy	Black	92661	
1/26/2020 10:52	Margaret	Schottmiller	92663	
1/26/2020 10:59	Steve	Iverson	92660	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents					
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.					
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments	
1/26/2020 11:06	Phillip	Drachman	92660	We have enough housing in Newport Beach and adding additional several thousand residences will only cause dramatic traffic jams, pollution and over crowding in an already crowded city. The Council needs to say enough is enough to our State Government and tell them no to any more increase in population and residencies. We need to protect Banning Ranch from development since it provides a sanctuary for animals and is needed to protect us from unneeded additional traffic and pollution.	
1/26/2020 11:33	Catharine	Britton	92625		
1/26/2020 11:40	cindy	powell	92661		
1/26/2020 11:53	Catou	Greenberg	92663		
1/26/2020 11:57	Norma	Edelhauser	92663		
1/26/2020 11:59	June	Palomino	92663		
1/26/2020 12:13	Cherie	Hemphill	92660	The number of new housing units required for the city of Newport Beach is not reasonable and definitely not sustainable. The environmental impact of such a mass development requirement will be devastating to our oceans and coastal landscape. We need to look at converting existing housing to address the homeless and affordable housing crisis and not mandate a number of new construction units per city. Coastal/beach areas are a lot more vulnerable and need to be protected for future generations!! We have to limit and regulate new development!	
1/26/2020 12:16	Carol	Martini	92660		
1/26/2020 12:31	Annie	Jelnick	92625		
1/26/2020 12:58	Madeline	Linick	92663		
1/26/2020 12:59	Molly	Wiehardt	92663		Stop the building people are moving out of Calif
1/26/2020 13:26	George	Treadwell	92660		
1/26/2020 13:42	William	Edelhauser	92663		



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 439 Newport Beach Residents				
xxx respondents with Zip Code = Other are included at the bottom of the list, and not included in the Newport Beach resident count.				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/26/2020 14:03	Kathleen	Tucker	92660	
1/26/2020 14:12	sharon	hutchison	92663	
1/26/2020 14:20	Virginia	Cirica	92625	
1/26/2020 14:23	Jeanne	Fobes	92663	
1/26/2020 14:39	DENNIS & MARCIA	OHERN	92663	
1/26/2020 15:47	Bob	King	92663	
1/26/2020 16:51	Jill	Prunella	92660	Please save Banning Ranch- we need to protect what's left of our green space
1/26/2020 16:55	natalie	fogarty	92663	
1/26/2020 16:56	Laura	Curran	92625	The City committed to Banning Ranch as Open Space as the preferred use in the 2006 General Plan. Residents would like to see this promise fulfilled.
1/27/2020 5:48	FM	Booth	92663	
1/27/2020 8:04	Zach	Lucas	92625	
1/27/2020 8:08	Laurel	Littlefield	92663	
1/27/2020 8:48	Thomas	Fisher	92663	
1/27/2020 9:18	Richard	Cruce	92663	

SPON is a 501(c)(3) non-profit public education organization working to protect and preserve the residential and environmental qualities of Newport Beach.



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 94 residents who neighbor Newport Beach				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/21/2020 8:22:32	Steve	Tyler	Other 92865	I care because my family visits Newport Beach at least twice monthly. We bring added monies to the community through our purchases, parking fees and related expenses.
1/22/2020 5:57:57	bill	bennett	Other	
1/23/2020 21:02	karen	murphy	Other	
1/25/2020 13:06	Megan	Lulow	Other	
1/25/2020 13:11	Sheila	Harlow	Other	
1/25/2020 13:20	kent	morris	Other	
1/25/2020 13:22	Tim	Ryan	Other	Presserve Banning Ranch!
1/25/2020 13:25	Jeanne	Lepowsky	Other 92637	Preserving open space protects our local natural resources and the humans living around them. The planet is already threatened by overdevelopment. Increasing the number of buildings makes housing costs HIGHER while destroying natural resources and damaging our human habitat. Developers profit, but we ordinary humans (vs the wealthy) end up priced out of Orange County. Make better use of land that has already been "developed" rather than taking shortcuts that will not solve the problem.
1/25/2020 13:34	Bill	McCarty	Other	Sacrificing rare and irreplaceable coastal environment is not the answer to the state's housing needs.
1/25/2020 13:39	Patricia	Carlson	Other	
1/25/2020 13:57	John	Sherman	Costa Mesa	
1/25/2020 13:59	Russell	Symonds	Costa Mesa	Uncontrolled population growth is destroying the quality of life for everyone. We can't just keep on turning more and more areas of VITAL natural habitat into solid blocks of concrete and asphalt, but this is what seems to be happening!
1/25/2020 14:15	Carrie	Jimenez	Costa Mesa	Do not develop! Save the open space we have left.
1/25/2020 14:18	Thomas	Peterson	Other	
1/25/2020 14:21	Johnathon	Jones	Other	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 94 residents who neighbor Newport Beach				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/25/2020 14:45	Terry	Hill	Other	Too many homes, need to keep valuable open space.
1/25/2020 15:04	marilyn	bauer	Other	
1/25/2020 15:10	kevin	walt	Other	
1/25/2020 15:13	Jon	Tuler	Other	
1/25/2020 15:14	Laura	Smith	Other	Please do not destroy previous open space!!
1/25/2020 16:03	Mary	Clarke	Huntington Beach	
1/25/2020 16:09	Margaret	Gillingham	Other	
1/25/2020 16:10	Laurene	Keane	Costa Mesa	Please preserve banning ranch as open space
1/25/2020 16:15	Meghan	McElheny	Other	
1/25/2020 16:20	Cheryl	Johnston	Other	Leave Banning Ranch as open space.
1/25/2020 16:25	Paul	Mayer	Huntington Beach	
1/25/2020 16:31	Steven	Southern	Huntington Beach	
1/25/2020 16:32	Janis	Tetley	Huntington Beach	
1/25/2020 16:40	Jack & Lenore	Kirkorn	Other	
1/25/2020 16:45	Natalie	Van Leekwijck	Other	
1/25/2020 16:49	Victoria	Franklin	Other 92620	Protecting the estuary and lands at Banning Ranch protects the habitat and breeding grounds/nursery of many animals - fish, fowl, mammals, etc. It impacts the health of the land and the ocean and the air we breathe. So, really, it affects us all - not just NB zip codes.
1/25/2020 17:00	Laurel	Golden	Other	As one of the last natural open spaces in Orange County, this land should be preserved as habitat for the unique animals of the area.
1/25/2020 17:10	Francene	Kaplan	Other	Keep existing open spaces open and focus on increasing housing options where already zoned for that.
1/25/2020 18:38	Armando A.	Garcia	Other 92571	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 94 residents who neighbor Newport Beach				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/25/2020 18:43	Riley	Watson	Costa Mesa	I reject high density building in Newport and Costa Mesa. This includes any future development on Banning Ranch. The state has overstepped its boundary with regard to housing at this point. I am 37 years old and am happy in a 1100 sq. Ft. House on a 8000 sq. Ft. Lot, which I purchased in 2015. I have no interest in living in a crowded area, which much of Ca is already. I have no interest in fighting for parking or driving on crowded roads, or sitting in a crowded bus. The beach is already too crowded in the Summer. I am certain my children will not have the same great beach experience I had growing up, and I hate to say it, but it's only getting worse. There is limited beach space and the whole USA will not fit onto our So Cal. Beaches.
1/25/2020 18:47	Lynn	Heubach	Other	
1/25/2020 18:48	Catherine	Heming	Other 92672	We need our open space for our sanity, Ecosystem, and bodily health. I have lived in Orange County for 35 years, and enough is enough. We are strangling ourselves.
1/25/2020 19:02	Susan	Harkere	Other	Banning Ranch should remain designated as permanent open space.
1/25/2020 19:23	Donna	Whitmire	Other	
1/25/2020 19:26	Catherine	Singer	Other	
1/25/2020 19:43	Sylvia R.	Gallagher	Huntington Beach	
1/25/2020 19:51	James	Hamilton	Other 90274	
1/25/2020 19:57	Cinthya	Baltazar	Other	
1/25/2020 20:42	Steve & Lena	Hayashi	Huntington Beach	I have lived off Brookhurst near PCH for 47 years and have voiced my desire to preserve the Banning Ranch land for restoration and native habitat not for development.
1/25/2020 20:45	Eric	Garcia	Other	I fully endorse this resolution!



PO Box 102 | Balboa Island, CA 92662 | 949.864.6616
 SPONNB.org | Social Media @ SPONNB @SPONNewport

Resolution endorsed by 94 residents who neighbor Newport Beach				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/26/2020 6:55	Jan	Nemmert	Other	
1/26/2020 7:03	Isa	De Quesada	Other	Enjoying Newport should include having nature and natural areas. It should not all be about buildings and profits for the few. Revenue can be generated through visitors, giving people an opportunity to enjoy not just Fashion Island and man made staples but also the natural beauty of the area.
1/26/2020 7:28	David	McCue	Other	
1/26/2020 7:55	Nancy	Laurie	Costa Mesa	
1/26/2020 8:17	Tom	Welles	Other	
1/26/2020 8:45	Craig	Wagner	Huntington Beach	
1/26/2020 9:43	Constance	Spenger	Other	The RHNA goals here are contrary to SCAG's policy of protecting the environment.
1/26/2020 9:46	Lynne	Holt	Other	
1/26/2020 10:01	Amy, Evan	Chalmers	Costa Mesa	
1/26/2020 10:08	David	Harrison	Other 92879	
1/26/2020 10:14	Marco	Aguilera	Other	
1/26/2020 10:14	Barbara	Noffsinger	Other	
1/26/2020 10:26	D.	Fachko	Other 90621	
1/26/2020 10:30	Lyn	Howe	Other 81428	It is extremely important we protect our open and sensitive lands. Housing on these rare lands is not acceptable as many endangered species and ecosystems will be destroyed forever. These lands should be preserved so that our future generations can have access to spaces so rare and ecosystems that are rapidly disappearing with development. Thank you
1/26/2020 10:34	michale	martinez	Other	
1/26/2020 10:36	Elisha	Roth	Other	Stop building new homes!
1/26/2020 11:07	Chad	Vasconcellos	Other 90720	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 94 residents who neighbor Newport Beach				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/26/2020 11:35	Jane	Gothold	Other	Our archaeological group did much of our work in Orange County (since 1961). It is important to preserve as many of the remaining open lands (formerly Native American lands) as possible .
1/26/2020 11:37	Martha	Herrero	Other	
1/26/2020 11:43	Alexis	Roth	Other	
1/26/2020 11:44	Pauline	Faye	Other	
1/26/2020 11:45	Sharon	Mayer	Huntington Beach	
1/26/2020 11:51	Greg & Laura	Carrow	Other	
1/26/2020 12:15	Mark & Patricia	Vance	Costa Mesa	In 100 years the people of California will be in awe that you stood up to the insane housing dictates of this governor and actually helped save this beautiful piece of land.
1/26/2020 12:17	Jenifer	Smith	Other	
1/26/2020 12:17	Ann	Tarkington	Other	
1/26/2020 12:32	Patricia	Martz	Other	
1/26/2020 12:35	frannie	butler	Other	
1/26/2020 12:47	Vanessa	Armstrong	Other	
1/26/2020 15:01	Philip	Chipman	Other	
1/26/2020 15:02	Mary	Clumeck	Other 92705	
1/26/2020 17:02	David	Harper	Other	Housing needs to be negotiated and completed without sacrificing unique natural places that are priceless in supporting vanishing species and all people.
1/26/2020 17:23	Jon	Sherman	Other	
1/26/2020 18:36	Shelley	Williams	Other	
1/26/2020 18:59	nancy	kappelmann	Other	
1/26/2020 19:19	Dennis & Laurie	Kelly	Other 92672	
				What does it take to get people to believe that we have hold on to natural space and restore it.



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 94 residents who neighbor Newport Beach				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/26/2020 19:47	Kelly	Kramer	Other 92840	
1/26/2020 20:18	Diane	Southern	Other	We need to save our open space.
1/26/2020 20:45	Gary	Reynolds	Other	
1/26/2020 22:04	ursula	hartunian	Other	
1/27/2020 0:17	Mary	Brady	Other	
1/27/2020 2:05	robin	leffler	Costa Mesa	
1/27/2020 9:20	Geoff	Leavell	Other	
1/27/2020 11:37	Mike	McCarthy	Huntington Beach	We need to protect what little open space we have left. More housing, "affordable" or otherwise, does not solve the underlying issues and additional coastal development is certainly not the answer.
1/27/2020 12:09	Ann	Harmer	Costa Mesa	The Banning Ranch Conservancy, including many Costa Mesa Residents, fought long and hard to preserve Banning Ranch as an open space and, hopefully one day, a Nature Preserve. The Ranch property contains threatened and endangered plants and animals that are protected by the Endangered Species Act. The Coastal Commission ruled in our favor after an 11-hour hearing with presentations by both sides. Banning Ranch Conservancy recently received a donation of \$50,000,000 from a local supporter to purchase the property and restore/maintain it as a natural open space. Building homes here would destroy the only open space on the Coast Highway in Orange County. We need to protect this space and the very LAST thing we need is more housing, with all its associated traffic, infrastructure, waste, and destruction of valuable habitat. Do not let this happen!
1/27/2020 13:12	Vivian	Ho	Huntington Beach	
1/27/2020 15:25	Jeanne	Schuster	Other	



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6
 S P O N N B . o r g | S o c i a l M e d i a @ S P O N N B @ S P O N N e w p o r t

Resolution endorsed by 94 residents who neighbor Newport Beach				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/26/2020 20:18	Diane	Southern	Other	We need to save our open space.
1/26/2020 20:45	Gary	Reynolds	Other	
1/26/2020 22:04	ursula	hartunian	Other	
1/27/2020 0:17	Mary	Brady	Other	
1/27/2020 2:05	robin	leffler	Costa Mesa	
1/27/2020 9:20	Geoff	Leavell	Other	
1/27/2020 11:37	Mike	McCarthy	Huntington Beach	We need to protect what little open space we have left. More housing, "affordable" or otherwise, does not solve the underlying issues and additional coastal development is certainly not the answer.
1/27/2020 12:09	Ann	Harmer	Costa Mesa	The Banning Ranch Conservancy, including many Costa Mesa Residents, fought long and hard to preserve Banning Ranch as an open space and, hopefully one day, a Nature Preserve. The Ranch property contains threatened and endangered plants and animals that are protected by the Endangered Species Act. The Coastal Commission ruled in our favor after an 11-hour hearing with presentations by both sides. Banning Ranch Conservancy recently received a donation of \$50,000,000 from a local supporter to purchase the property and restore/maintain it as a natural open space. Building homes here would destroy the only open space on the Coast Highway in Orange County. We need to protect this space and the very LAST thing we need is more housing, with all its associated traffic, infrastructure, waste, and destruction of valuable habitat. Do not let this happen!
1/27/2020 13:12	Vivian	Ho	Huntington Beach	
1/27/2020 15:25	Jeanne	Schuster	Other	
1/27/2020 15:47	John	McMahon	Costa Mesa	



PO Box 102 | Balboa Island, CA 92662 | 949.864.6616
 SPONNB.org | Social Media @ SPONNB @ SPONNewport

Resolution endorsed by 94 residents who neighbor Newport Beach				
Received	First	Last	Zip	Comments
1/27/2020 9:37	Joel	Robinson	Other	<p>I appreciate Governor Newsom's efforts to increase affordable housing for those who need it. My wife, daughter and I had to leave our rental home of 9 years because our landlord raised the rent after observing higher monthly rates of a nearby AirBnB property. However, I am concerned that the state may be forcing counties and cities to build more affordable housing when the amount of existing empty housing units (single-family homes, apartments, condos, trailer homes, etc.) outnumbers the homeless population. For example, "At least 36,000 homeless people live in the city of Los Angeles, but L.A. potentially has more than 41,000 empty housing units, according to a report released Tuesday by UCLA law students and a coalition of economic justice organizations."</p> <p>https://laist.com/2019/11/20/los-angeles-housing-vacancy-homeless.php. Another article reports, "CALIFORNIA HOMEOWNERS HAVE 20 UNINHABITED BEDROOMS FOR EVERY HOMELESS PERSON. The search for housing justice shouldn't ignore the empty luxury spaces we subsidize most."</p> <p>www.sightline.org/2019/11/22/california-homeowners-have-20-uninhabited-bedrooms-for-every-homeless-person/. Another article reports, that "San Francisco has nearly five empty homes per homeless resident."</p> <p>https://sf.curbed.com/2019/12/3/20993251/san-francisco-bay-area-vacant-homes-per-homeless-count Southern California communities suffer from the significant negative impacts of urban sprawl, which has increased traffic congestion, air pollution, noise pollution and water pollution. Sprawl has also destroyed habitat for threatened wildlife species and removed valuable open space/natural area for human benefit. Please consider creative strategies that make existing housing units more affordable/accessible versus destroying our remaining open spaces/natural areas. Many private developers are already taking advantage of the statewide pressure and proposing new high-density housing units in inappropriate locations, including congested urban areas and irreplaceable, fire-proned rural areas. Thank you for the consideration.</p>